Cannabis, Cigarettes, and Their Co-Occurring Use: Disentangling Differences in Gray Matter Volume
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Abstract

Background: Structural magnetic resonance imaging techniques are powerful tools for examining the effects of drug use on the brain. The nicotine and cannabis literature has demonstrated differences between nicotine cigarette smokers and cannabis users compared to controls in brain structure; however, less is known about the effects of co-occurring cannabis and tobacco use.

Methods: We used voxel-based morphometry to examine gray matter volume differences between four groups: (1) cannabis-dependent individuals who do not smoke tobacco (Cs); (2) cannabis-dependent individuals who smoke tobacco (CTs); (3) cannabis-naive, nicotine-dependent individuals who smoke tobacco (Ts); and (4) healthy controls (HCs). We also explored associations between gray matter volume and measures of cannabis and tobacco use.

Results: A significant group effect was observed in the left putamen, thalamus, right precentral gyrus, and left cerebellum. Compared to HCs, the Cs, CTs, and Ts exhibited larger gray matter volumes in the left putamen. Cs also had larger gray matter volume than HCs in the right precentral gyrus. Cs and CTs exhibited smaller gray matter volume than HCs in the thalamus, and CTs and Ts had smaller left cerebellar gray matter volume than HCs.

Conclusions: This study extends previous research that independently examined the effects of cannabis or tobacco use on brain structure by including an examination of co-occurring cannabis and tobacco use, and provides evidence that cannabis and tobacco exposure are associated with alterations in brain regions associated with addiction.
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Introduction

Cannabis dependence (now cannabis use disorder), like all drug addictions, is a chronic, relapsing disorder marked by compulsive drug-taking despite negative consequences. Cannabis use has been associated with a range of adverse effects, including negative health outcomes (Kalant, 2004), acute and chronic mental health problems (Moore et al., 2007), and psychosocial and cognitive impairments (Crean et al., 2011). According to a recent review (Batalla et al., 2013), adult chronic cannabis users exhibit altered brain activity compared to healthy controls during cognitive tasks that involve sustained attention (Chang et al., 2006; Abdullaev et al., 2010), working memory (Jager et al., 2006, 2007), inhibitory control (Hester et al., 2009), decision-making skills...
bis users and non-using controls, and provide insight into the pharmacology by comparing gray matter differences between cannabis users and non-using controls (Matochik et al., 2005; Yucel et al., 2008; Ashtari et al., 2011; Demirakca et al., 2011), so we hypothesized that Cs and CTs would exhibit lower gray matter volume in the thalamus and cerebellum, yet larger gray matter volume in the putamen and parahippocampus than controls (Franklin et al., 2014). We therefore hypothesized that Ts and CTs would show smaller gray matter volume in the thalamus and cerebellum and larger gray matter volume in the putamen compared to Cs and HC.

**Methods**

**Participants and Recruitment**

All study procedures adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board. Physically healthy individuals were recruited via media advertisements and referrals who are: (1)
cannabis-dependent and do NOT smoke tobacco (C); (2) cannabis-dependent and smoke tobacco (CT); (3) cannabis-naïve, nicotine-dependent, and smoke tobacco (T); and (4) non-using healthy controls (HC). After completing an initial telephone screen, individuals received a description of their respective study, provided written informed consent, and completed a screening visit (i.e. physical examination and psychological assessment) to ensure that they fulfilled all study criteria. Exclusion criteria included current DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses (other than cannabis or nicotine dependence), lifetime history of head injury with loss of consciousness for more than 3 min, contraindications for magnetic resonance imaging, current treatment for cannabis dependence, clinically significant medical conditions, lifetime history of illicit drug use other than cannabis, and use of medication interacting with the central nervous system. Further details regarding the inclusion procedure are described in previous studies (Franklin et al., 2014; Wetherill et al., 2014b).

Approximately 45 minutes prior to scan acquisition, CTs and Ts were provided the opportunity to smoke a cigarette to ensure that they were not experiencing nicotine withdrawal symptoms during data acquisition. Self-report of last cannabis use in Cs and CTs was obtained (mean time since last use = 0.69 days, standard deviation [SD] = 0.51). The final population selected for this study consists of 19 Cs (mean age = 28.0 years; SD = 6.9), 21 CTs (mean age = 30.9 years; SD = 8.8), 21 Ts (mean age = 34.3 years; SD = 9.4), and 21 HCs (mean age = 30.5 years; SD = 8.8). Demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

For all participants, urine drug screens verified the absence of illicit drugs (e.g. cocaine, opiates, amphetamines) and the absence of nicotine and its major metabolite, cotinine, in C and HC groups. The cannabis groups completed urine and saliva tests during the screening process to confirm the regular use of cannabis consumption. The Timeline Follow-Back (Sobell and Sobell, 1992) quantified cannabis, nicotine, alcohol, and opiate use during the past 30 days, and the Addiction Severity Index (McLellan et al., 1992) assessed lifetime cannabis, cocaine, amphetamine, heroin, opiate, barbiturate, hallucinogen, sedative, alcohol, and inhalant use. The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND; Fagerstrom and Schneider, 1989) assessed severity of nicotine dependence among CTs and Ts.

**Magnetic Resonance Acquisition**

Imaging data were acquired on a Siemens 3 Tesla Trio whole-body scanner (Erlangen) at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania using a product 8-channel head coil. High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were obtained using a 3D-magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo sequence (repetition time = 1620 ms, echo time = 3 ms, flip angle = 15°, 160 contiguous slices of 1.0 mm).

**Data Processing**

Data were preprocessed and analyzed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology) VBM8 toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm) implemented in MatlabR2013 (MathWorksInc.). Each subject’s T1-weighted images were checked for structural abnormalities and reoriented to the anterior-posterior commissure line. Reoriented images were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid in native space using the New Segmentation algorithm. The resulting rigidly aligned and resliced gray matter tissue maps were imported into DARTEL (Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Using Exponentiated Lie Algebra), an advanced high-dimensional diffeomorphic registration algorithm shown to improve spatial precision (Ashburner, 2007). Subsequently, a study-specific gray matter template was created from the imported maps of all participants. The final average gray matter template was generated after six iterations by averaging all of the aligned images. During successive iterations, flow fields for each participant’s images were yielded to parameterized deformations by warping to the template. The warped gray matter maps were transformed into Montreal Neurological Institute space and were scaled by the Jacobian determinants of the deformations. Finally, the normalized modulated maps were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm full width half maximum.

**Data Analysis**

Demographics and questionnaire scores were examined for normality, and non-normal data (i.e. pack years, days of cannabis use) were log transformed. A Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test examined group differences in age, and all other group differences were assessed using an analysis of variance. Voxel-based inferential statistics were performed on the smoothed modulated gray matter images using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for investigating the main effect of group (F-test). Age and sex were included as covariates of no interest. Given that gray matter differences between adults with cannabis and tobacco co-use, adults who only use cannabis, and adults who are cannabis-naïve but smoke tobacco, FTND, Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence, HC, healthy control; T, cannabis-naïve, nicotine-dependent individual who smokes tobacco.

---

**Table 1. Demographic Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C (n = 19)</th>
<th>CT (n = 21)</th>
<th>T (n = 21)</th>
<th>HC (n = 21)</th>
<th>p-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n(%) male</td>
<td>10 (53)</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>28 (7)</td>
<td>31 (9)</td>
<td>34 (9)</td>
<td>31 (9)</td>
<td>0.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>13 (2)</td>
<td>13 (1)</td>
<td>14 (1)</td>
<td>13 (1)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine dependence (FTND)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes per day</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>14.1 (4.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>10.2 (8.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset of weekly cannabis use</td>
<td>20 (6)</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis use, years</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis use, past 30 days</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
<td>25 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis use, grams/week</td>
<td>14 (11)</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.07**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-value for Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test of difference between groups  
* p-values for comparison between CT and T groups  
* Values are shown as means (standard deviation). C, cannabis-dependent individual who does not smoke tobacco; CT, cannabis-dependent individual who smokes tobacco; FTND, Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence; HC, healthy control; T, cannabis-naïve, nicotine-dependent individual who smokes tobacco.
tobacco have never been reported, the data were analyzed using the voxel-wise threshold of $p < 0.001$ with a family-wise error correction for multiple comparisons ($p < 0.05$). To conduct post hoc pairwise comparisons and to explore associations between gray matter volume and measures of cannabis (e.g. years of cannabis use, age of cannabis use onset, grams of use per week, days of cannabis use in the past month) and tobacco use (e.g. years of cigarette use, age of cigarette use onset, cigarettes per day, pack years, and nicotine dependence), gray matter volume within each significant cluster was extracted for each participant using the MarsBar tool in SPM (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net) and exported to IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0. In SPSS, a series of ANCOVAs tested for main effect of group and confirmed SPM findings. Subsequent post hoc pairwise comparisons were conducted (e.g. Cs vs. CTs; Cs vs. Ts; Cs vs. HCs, etc.) to determine whether group differences were significant.

Results

Demographic Characteristics

As shown in Table 1, groups did not differ in age, sex, or years of education. Comparisons between CT and T groups revealed that T individuals smoked more cigarettes per day and had greater pack years than CT individuals, but did not differ in nicotine dependence (FTND). Cannabis-dependent individuals (C vs CT groups) did not differ in age of cannabis use onset, cannabis use days (past 30 days), or amount of cannabis use (grams/week), but did differ in years of cannabis use.

VBM Analysis

Whole-brain analyses revealed significant differences in gray matter volume between groups in the left putamen, right precentral gyrus, left cerebellum, and thalamus (Figure 1, Table 2). Pairwise comparisons within these clusters showed that the C group, CT group, and T group had greater gray matter volume in the left putamen compared to HCs, and Cs had greater gray matter volume in the right precentral gyrus compared to HCs. HCs showed greater gray matter volume in the thalamus compared to Cs and CTs. HCs also had greater gray matter volume in the left cerebellum than the CT and T groups. Finally, among those who use cannabis (i.e. Cs and CTs), partial correlations examined potential associations between gray matter volume and measures of cannabis use with age, sex, and pack years as covariates. No significant correlations were observed.

Similar partial correlation analyses were conducted for CTs and Ts by exploring associations between gray matter volume and measures of tobacco cigarette use with age, sex, and years of cannabis use as covariates. No significant correlations were found between gray matter volume and measures of tobacco use.

Discussion

The current study provides evidence that cannabis and tobacco have differential effects on gray matter volume; however, co-occurring cannabis and tobacco use does not appear to have a distinct effect compared to only smoking cannabis or cigarettes. In general, our findings are largely consistent with research suggesting that long-term cannabis and tobacco smoking alters the brain structure in regions rich in cannabinoid 1 (CB1) receptors (Svizenska et al., 2008) and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Picard et al., 2013). Specifically, groups differed in gray matter volume in the left putamen, right precentral gyrus, thalamus, and left cerebellum. Compared to controls, Cs, CTs, and
Ts exhibited larger gray matter volume in the left putamen, yet only Cs showed larger gray matter volume in the right precentral gyrus. Cannabis appeared to have a unique effect in the thalamus, with Cs and CTs having smaller gray matter volume than HCs, whereas nicotine appeared to have a unique effect within the left cerebellum, with CTs and Ts having smaller gray matter volume than HCs. Cannabis use and tobacco use measures did not correlate with gray matter volume in any of the above clusters.

Larger Gray Matter Volume in Cannabis- and Nicotine-Dependent Individuals

Cs, CTs, and Ts had larger gray matter volume in the left putamen (dorsal striatum) than HCs. Striatal abnormalities are thought to underlie habitual, compulsive drug seeking and use despite negative consequences (Everitt et al., 2008; Koob and Volkow, 2010). Further, the striatum is an important part of the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, and as such, our findings suggest that the dopamine system may be a common mechanism for cannabis- and tobacco-related structural alterations. Similarly, previous studies have shown that methamphetamine-dependent individuals have greater gray matter volume within the putamen compared to controls (Chang et al., 2005; Jernigan et al., 2005; Churchwell et al., 2012). Enlarged striatal volume has also been reported in other psychiatric disorders characterized by repetitive, compulsive behaviors, such as autism (Hollander et al., 2005) and obsessive-compulsive disorder (Scarone et al., 1992). Further, severity of compulsivity has been associated with increased striatal gray matter volume (Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2009). As such, the increased striatal gray matter volume observed in our cannabis- and nicotine-dependent groups may be associated with the long-term, compulsive use of cannabis and tobacco. It is important to note, however, that recent research suggests that greater putamen gray matter volume may be present before the onset of substance use and could influence the development of substance use disorders (Ersche et al., 2012). Thus, gray matter volume differences in the putamen could contribute to and/or result from substance use disorders.

We also found that Cs differed from HCs in right precentral gray matter volume, with Cs exhibiting larger gray matter volume than HCs. The precentral gyrus, also known as the primary motor cortex, has been primarily identified with motor function (Picard and Strick, 1996; Fink et al., 1997) and appears to play a role in cannabis dependence. Specifically, our finding is consistent with a previous study indicating that compared to men who do not smoke cannabis, men who smoke cannabis have greater gray matter density within the precentral gyrus (Matuchik et al., 2005). THC has been found to impair psychomotor function (King et al., 2011) and increase activation within brain areas involved in motor control and coordination, including the precentral gyrus (Weinstein et al., 2008a). This increase in brain metabolism during slower, inaccurate motor performance has been attributed to cannabis users having to “work harder” to complete a task (Ranayama et al., 2004); however, other work suggests that cannabis users recruit motor and attention areas more extensively (Weinstein et al., 2008b). Accordingly, one possible explanation for the larger gray matter volume within the precentral gyrus could be due to neuroadaptations associated with the repeated increase of blood flow and brain metabolism within the region due to chronic THC exposure (Hoffman et al., 2003; Sim-Selley, 2003), as recent research suggests that brain blood flow and gray matter volume are systematically linked (Varkuti et al., 2011). Future research is warranted given that only Cs, not CTs, exhibited this difference; however, at an uncorrected p < 0.001 threshold, CTs also exhibited greater gray matter volume in the right precentral gyrus.

Smaller Gray Matter Volume in Cannabis- and Nicotine-Dependent Individuals

We also found that Cs and CTs had smaller gray matter volume in the thalamus compared to HCs. Similar reductions in thalamic gray matter volume have been observed in individuals at high familial risk of psychosis who smoke cannabis (Welch et al., 2011); however, reductions in thalamic gray matter volume were not reported in other studies among adults who smoke cannabis, which is surprising given that the thalamus has been identified as a structure involved in mediating responses to cue-driven behaviors, especially in the context of addiction (Haigh and Flagel, 2014). At the family-wise error-corrected threshold, Ts did not exhibit smaller gray matter volume in the thalamus compared to HCs, which contradicts some studies (Gallinat et al., 2006; Liao et al., 2012) but not others (Brody et al., 2004). Given that cigarette smoking behavior is complex and that various aspects of the behavior are modulated by both known (Bergen et al., 2009; Franklin et al., 2011; Wetherill et al., 2014a) and unknown factors, we speculate that differences in other characteristics (e.g. sex, genetics) are contributing to discrepancies across studies.

Unique Differences Between Cannabis- and Nicotine-Dependent Groups

The left cerebellum was identified as a brain region wherein tobacco smokers (CTs and Ts) showed smaller gray matter volume than HCs. This finding is consistent with previous studies.
demonstrating cerebellar gray matter differences between cigarette smokers and healthy controls (Yu et al., 2011; Kuhn et al., 2012; Franklin et al., 2014). Preclinical research suggests that long-term nicotine exposure results in a significant loss of cerebellar Purkinje cells (Chen et al., 2003), and as such, similar reductions could occur among human cigarette smokers and result in smaller cerebellar volume compared to HCs. It is important to note, however, that this interpretation is speculative and additional research on the effects of nicotine on the cerebellum is warranted.

Unlike two previous studies among cannabis-using adult (Cousijn et al., 2012) and adolescent populations (Medina et al., 2010), we did not find cerebellar volume differences between cannabis-dependent adults who do not smoke tobacco and healthy controls. Discrepancies may be attributable to differences in methodology and cannabis use histories. Specifically, the previous studies used a priori regions of interest approaches and examined populations who were not cannabis dependent and who reported less cannabis use. Further, the earlier studies did not control for cigarette smoking, and as such, the cerebellar findings could actually be due to nicotine exposure rather than cannabis use. As such, additional studies are needed to assess the effects of cannabis use (without concurrent tobacco smoking) on cerebellar brain structure.

Contrary to our hypotheses, we did not find differences in hippocampal gray matter volume among Cs and CTs. It is important to note that at an uncorrected p < 0.001 threshold, Cs and CTs exhibited smaller gray matter volume, and as such, this null finding could be due to our conservative statistical approach, as CTs and Cs showed smaller hippocampal gray matter volume compared to HCs and Ts at a lower, more liberal statistical threshold.

Correlations Between Gray Matter Volume and Measures of Cannabis and Tobacco Use

We did not find significant correlations between cannabis and tobacco use measures and gray matter volume. Null findings may be related to our conservative statistical approach and focus on clusters showing significant differences. Thus, additional research is necessary.

Limitations

This study has several important strengths and limitations. It is the first study to explore the differential effects of cannabis, tobacco, and concurrent cannabis and tobacco use among adults. The groups were well-matched on demographic characteristics, and by including Cs, CTs, Ts, and HCs, we examined the unique effects of each substance and their co-occurring use on adult gray matter. This cross-sectional study design prohibits our ability to dissociate causal effects of cannabis and tobacco smoking from predisposing biological factors. Our sample size also precludes us from examining how other factors, such as sex and genetic vulnerabilities, may influence these findings.

Conclusion

This VBM, sMRI study provides new information on the effects of cannabis, cigarettes, and co-occurring cannabis and cigarette smoking on brain structure. Cannabis, tobacco, and their co-occurring use had similar effects within several brain regions associated with motivation and reward, yet differed in unique ways in the cerebellum and thalamus. Although longitudinal studies are needed, this study extends previous studies that independently examine the effects of cannabis or tobacco use on brain structure by including an examination of co-occurring cannabis and tobacco use, exclusive use of one or the other.
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